

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/642,224		08/18/2003	Alex Haaht Gouliaev	2815-0237P	2206	
2292	7590	08/30/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
		Γ KOLASCH & BIR	HABTE, K	HABTE, KAHSAY		
PO BOX 74 FALLS CH		VA 22040-0747	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,			1624		
			DATE MAILED: 08/30/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
.*	10/642,224	GOULIAEV ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Kahsay Habte	1624					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	-						
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
<del>, _ ,</del> ,	 s action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1900 C.D. 11, 400 C.C. 210.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
8) Claim(s) 1-30 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	·					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ul>							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)	_						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar						
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/642,224 Page 2

Art Unit: 1624

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Restriction/Election

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-17 (in part) and 21-24 (in part), drawn to the 1,2,4benzothiadiazine compounds of formula I wherein X is SO<sub>2</sub> or and Y is N and their compositions (bicyclic), classified in class 544 subclass 12.
  - II. Claims 1 (in part), 3-9 (in part), 11-19 (in part), 21-22 (in part) and 24 (in part), drawn to 1,3-benzoxazine compounds of formula I wherein X is CO or CH<sub>2</sub> and Y is O and their compositions (**bicyclic**), classified in class 544 subclass 92.
  - III. Claims 1 (in part), 3-9 (in part), 11-19 (in part), 21-22 (in part) and 24 (in part), drawn to the quinazoline compounds of formula I wherein X is CO or CH<sub>2</sub> and Y is N and their compositions (**bicyclic**), classified in class 544 subclass 287.
  - IV. Claims 1-19 (in part), 20 and 21-24 (in part), drawn to the compounds and compositions not included in Groups I-III (e.g. tricyclic compounds where
     R7 and R6 form a ring; Y = N-CH2, etc.), classified in classes and subclasses depending on the nature of the substituents.
  - V. Claims 25-30, drawn to method of use, classified in class 514 subclass various.

Application/Control Number: 10/642,224

Art Unit: 1624

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Groups I-IV are directed to structurally dissimilar compounds such that the variable core created by the varying definitions of the formula I do not belong to the same recognized class of chemical compounds in the art, and references anticipating one invention, would not render obvious the others. Thus, separate searches in the literature as well as in the U.S. Patent Classification System would be required. Each group's compounds are made and used independently of each other and could support separate patents. The compounds differ significantly in chemical structures. One skilled in the art would not consider such diverse structure equivalents of each other.

Inventions I-IV and V are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case more than one use exists for compounds of Groups I-III as evidenced by claims 29-30 drawn to a variety of divers uses. Additionally, the various uses would raise issues of enablement separate from the compound claims and would require art-recognized evidence that activity relied on its reasonably correlated to in vivo efficacy for the uses claimed.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1624

If Group IV is elected; tentative election of a single species is required. Note that further restriction maybe required.

## Advisory Rejoinder

2. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

All claims directed a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result

in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

A telephone call was made to Mr. Gerald Murphy on 8/18/2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

#### Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kahsay Habte whose telephone number is (571) 272-0667. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9.00AM- 5:30PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O. Wilson can be reached at (571) 272-0661. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/642,224

Art Unit: 1624

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)-273-8300.

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kahsay Habte Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1624

August 21, 2006